

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AKASHI KOSEN COLLEGE JAPAN 明石工業高等専門学校

**GLOBAL EDUCATION CENTER** グローバルエデュケーションセンター

# Harnessing the Power of Graphic Calculators: A Key to Success in the Math Bilingual Education Program at NITAC







Prepared by:

Isao Takata, PhD Mathematics Professor

Mark Kevin Aguilar Assistant Math Professor © National Institute of Technology Akashi (KOSEN) College

## June 2023

# **Objectives**:

**Provide brief overview of the Math Bilingual Education Program** 

Explain that the evaluation of the program covered three aspects:

- **Program Components**, •
- **Effects on Student Math Performance and**  $\bullet$ **Capabilities**, and
- **Emotional and Motivational Aspects of** ulletLearning Experience.





## Share the significance of integrating graphical representations as a key element in achieving success in the **Bilingual Math Program**.

# Bilingual Math Class Workflow: Path to Mastery



)What was the most important thing you learned today?	)What was n	ot clear to you the m	ost in today's class?		
What was the most important thing you learned today?					
	What was t				
		ne most important tr	ning you learned today?		
Knowledge Ability to Explain Attitude	Kno	vledge	Ability to Explain	A	Attitude

### Student Learning Reflection

### Lesson Homework



## Harnessing the Power of Graphic Calculators: A Key to Success in the Math Bilingual Education Program at NITAC

## **Graphic Tools**:



Link: GitHub - 3b1b/manim: Animation engine for explanatory math videos



## Harnessing the Power of Graphic Calculators: A Key to Success in the Math Bilingual Education Program at NITAC

**Graphic Tools**:



Link: Sample Lesson Slides (1)

Link: Sample Lesson Slides (2)

# Volume over a Rectangular Region

Link: Sample Lesson Slides (3)

# Evaluation of the Math Bilingual Program

## Program Components

- Achievement of lesson objectives
- Quality of the content of the bilingual lessons
- Performance of the teacher
- Quality of teaching materials, and sufficiency of time allocation

Effects on the Student Math performance and capabilities in the class

2



Emotional and Motivational aspects of learning experience

# **Program Components**

- Achievement of lesson objectives
- Quality of the content of the bilingual lessons
- Performance of the teacher
- Quality of teaching materials, and sufficiency of time allocation

## Link: <a href="https://forms.office.com/r/RcbE3XX4nZ">https://forms.office.com/r/RcbE3XX4nZ</a>





(先生のパフォーマ	e Teacher ?ンス)・		•	~~	Ĩ,
3	rongly Agree (強く同葉しま 下)	Agree (RTB TS)	Neutral (250 GT&SQL1)	Disagree (同意し ない)	Strongly Disagree (強くそ う思わない)
The feacher was unowledgeable about the Math lessons. Xevin先生は数学の授業に構 直していた)	0	0	0	0	0
The teacher was well prepared. Xevin先生はよく事情してい た)					
Online Platform (数材、時間、オン	ラインブ	ラットフ	4	MATERIAL	
Online Platform (教材、時間、オン オーム)・	・ラインプ rongly Agree (例く発見しま	ラットフ Agree(58	Neutral (250		Stongly Diagree (BK < 7
Online Platform (教材、時間、オン オーム)・ <sup>59</sup> <sup>50</sup> <sup>50</sup> <sup>51</sup> <sup>51</sup> <sup>52</sup> <sup>51</sup> <sup>52</sup> <sup>52</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup> <sup>53</sup>	vラインプ nongly Agree (強く用意しま す)	ラットフ Agree (何章 でる)	Neutral (250 STERI)	MATERIAL Pagese (FE BL 22.1)	Storagy Diagree (B< E 3 BE74: V
Online Platform (教材、時間、オン オーム)・	・ラインプ rongly Apree (含く用意しま り )	ラットフ Apres (RR で)	Nectal (255 57554) 0	MATERIAL Pagere (REEL SEL)	Stongy Diagree (III < E 28D2(1)
Online Platform (教材、時間、オン オーム)・ 3 The online materials prosentation files and inglish video lessons) statibuted ware helpful. 設まれたようティン毎年 (ブレゼンテーションファ イルと単語のビステオレッス ン) は谷に立った) htt (530 minuted) Sime addition for the biogual seson was encoph (パイリ パルを開催のビストック毎年 であった) htt collise any to across and filestick for the biogual seson was encoph (パイリ アルルを開催の見てもの) た (15~030) 新聞は十分 であった) htt collise meeting platform Taandi te axy to across and filestick for the biogual seson was encoph (パイリ パイリンガル(環境に使用し Etaamid, アクセスが優勝に Taamid, アクセスが優勝に見用し Etaamid, アクセスが優勝に	・ラインプ rongly Agree (営く用意しま つ 〇	<b>ラットフ</b> Aoree (188 〇 〇			Stongy Diagre (# < č 2981/24/9

Agreement	Value
Strongly Disagree	0
Disagree	1
Neutral	2
Agree	3
Strongly Agree	4
Quality	Range
<b>Quality</b> Very Poor	<b>Range</b> 0.00-0.80
<b>Quality</b> Very Poor Poor	<b>Range</b> 0.00-0.80 0.81-1.60
Quality Very Poor Poor Fair	Range         0.00-0.80         0.81-1.60         1.61-2.40
Quality Very Poor Poor Fair Good	Range         0.00-0.80         0.81-1.60         1.61-2.40         2.41-3.20

# **Program Components**

# 1st Semester

24

21

7

19

11

1年生 (1st Grade)
2年生 (2nd Grade)
3年生 (3rd Grade)
教職員 (Teachers)
どちらでもない (Neutral)











# 2nd Semester

st Grade)	64
Brd Grade)	40
Teachers)	0
もない (Neutral)	0







# **Program Components**

Components	Mean Score
Achievement of Objectives (目的の達成)	3.24
Content of the Bilingual Lessons (バイリンガルレッスンの内容)	3.26
Performance of the Teacher (先生のパフォーマンス)	3.77
Teaching Materials, and Time (トレーニング資料、時間)	3.30
Overall Mean	3.39



# Evaluation of the Math Bilingual Program

## Program Components

- Achievement of lesson objectives
- Quality of the content of the bilingual lessons
- Performance of the teacher
- Quality of teaching materials, and sufficiency of time allocation

Effects on the Student Math Performance and Capabilities in the class

2



Emotional and Motivational aspects of learning experience

# **Objectives and Design**

Determine the effects of the bilingual medium of instruction on the Mathematical performance, understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of NITAC Students in Mathematics subjects.



- Math Performance
- Student Perception of their Knowledge, Ability to Explain, and Attitude in the class

# **Definition of Terms**

## Math Performance Quizzes

No.5) 2M	Math.IIA	(Takata)	
)	name (		)
Find the average	rate of change.)	)	
-hから $a+2h$	までの平均変化	之率	
めなさい. al coefficient acco	rding to a defir	nition.)	
= 1 における微分(	系数	-	
	No.5) 2M ) Find the average -hから $a+2h$	No.5) 2M Math.IIA ) name ( Find the average rate of change.) – h から a + 2h までの平均変化	No.5) 2M Math.IIA (Takata) ) name ( Find the average rate of change.) – h から a + 2h までの平均変化率

## Student Perception of their Knowledge, Ability to Explain, and Attitude in the class

**RUBRIC**:

	Knowledge	Ability to Explain	Attitude
Goal	Capable of understanding and calculating	Capable of giving explanation or stating your opinion	Be focused and actively engaged in the lesson.
Assessment	Displayed ability to understand and calculate	Explained the concepts, exercises or problems.	Showed focus and involvement in class.
А	I was able to understand all concepts, exercises, and problems.	I am able to explain all concepts, exercises and problems on my own.	I was focused, attentive, and/or actively involved during the lesson.
В	I was able to understand all concepts and calculate or solve problems with a little help.	I am able to explain all concepts, exercises and problems by referring to the notes.	I was focused, attentive and involved to a good extent.
С	There are concepts that I could not understand and problems or exercises that I could not solve or calculate.	There are concepts, problems or exercises that I cannot explain at all.	My focus, concentration, and/or involvement in class was very limited.
D	I was unable to understand the concepts, calculate exercises, nor solve the problems at all.	I am not able to explain the concepts, problems or exercises at all.	I was not able to focus or participate in class at all.

# **Objectives and Problems**

Determine the effects of the bilingual medium of instruction on the Mathematical performance, knowledge, ability to explain, and attitude of NITAC Students in Mathematics subjects.

## Questions:

- 1. What is the level of students' mathematical performance, perception of knowledge, ability to explain, and attitude according to the school year and grade level?
- 2. Is there a significant difference between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment groups at each year level?
- 3. Is there a significant difference between the student perception of their knowledge, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and treatment group in each grade level?

# **Participants**

Year Level (Course)	School Year (Group)	No. of Participants	Sin
First Year Level	2020-2021 (Control)	61	
(1M and 1E)	2022-2023 (Treatment)	57	
Third Year Level	2020-2021 (Control)	42	
(3A)	2022-2023 (Treatment)	38	

## **SCALE** Levels of Knowledge, Ability to Explain, and Attitude (K-E-A) and Math Performance

Levels	Scale Range for K-E-A	M
High	3.01 - 4.00	
Moderate	2.00 - 3.00	
Low	1.00 - 1.99	

### nilar Lessons and Quizzes

36 Lessons

21 Lessons

Scale Range for Ath Performance

4.01 - 6.00

2.00 - 4.00

0.00 - 1.99

# **Statistical Tools**

Tools	Purpos
Mean	To calculate the average performance, pe ability to explain, and attitude of the con each year level.
Shapiro-Wilk Test	To test the normality of the data distribution treatment groups at each grade level. It meets the assumption of normality for particles the data distribution distribution of normality for particles the data distribution distrib
Mann-Whitney U test	To determine if there is a significant diff of the control and treatment groups at e is not normally distributed. It is a non-pa the medians of two independent sample
Independent Sample t-Test	To determine if there is a significant diff the control and treatment group in each normally distributed. It is a parametric to of two independent samples.

### e

erception of understanding, ntrol and treatment groups at

**ution of the control and** is used to check if the data

arametric tests.

ference between the medians each year level when the data arametric test that compares es.

ference between the means of h grade level when the data is test that compares the means

### Question

1. What is the level of students' mathematical performance, understanding, ability to explain, and attitude according to school year and grade level?

School Year	Kn		nowledge Ability to Explain		o Explain	Attitude		Math Performance	
	Groups	Mean (Level)	SD	Mean (Level)	SD	Mean (Level)	SD	Mean (Level)	SD
1st Year	SY 2020-2021 (Control)	I 3.75 (High) 0.301	3.77 (High)	0.289	3.89 (High)	0.161	4.16 (High)	0.736	
(1M and 1E)	SY 2022-2023 (Treatment)	3.70 (High)	0.336	3.59 (High)	0.409	3.82 (High)	0.318	4.36 (High)	0.608
3rd Year	<b>SY 2020-2021</b> 3.27 (Control) (High) 0.634	0.634	3.33 (High)	0.672	3.63 (High)	0.528	3.73 (Moderate)	1.050	
(3A)	SY 2022-2023 (Treatment)	3.32 (High)	0.487	3.30 (High)	0.576	3.66 (High)	0.413	3.30 (Moderate)	0.910

## **Test of Normality**

## **First Year Students**

		Tests of Normality Shapiro-Wilk				
Group		Statistic	df	Sig.		
Control	Knowledge	.805	61	.000		
	Ability to Explain	.783	61	.000		
	Attitude	.721	61	.000		
	Performance	.930	61	.002		
Treatment	Knowledge	.813	57	.000		
	Ability to Explain	.871	57	.000		
	Attitude	.639	57	.000		
	Performance	.985	57	.690		

if the data of the first year level violates the assumption of normality.

The result shows that all the dependent variables (Knowledge, Ability to Explain, Attitude, and Performance) for both the control and treatment groups did not follow a normal distribution based on Shapiro-Wilk tests.

However, the dependent variable of **Performance in the treatment group** was found to have a significantly normal distribution (W = 0.985, p > 0.05).

Due to the non-normal distribution of the other dependent variables, a nonparametric test was used.

Test of normality, specifically the Shapiro-Wilk Test, is used to determine

### **Test of Normality**

## **Third Year Students**

		Tests of Normality					
		Shapiro-Wilk					
Group		Statistic	df	Sig.			
Control	Knowledge	.873	42	.000			
	Ability to Explain	.864	42	.000			
	Attitude	.726	42	.000			
	Performance	.962	42	.176			
Treatment	Knowledge	.950	38	.088			
	Ability to Explain	.923	38	.012			
	Attitude	.767	38	.000			
	Performance	.944	38	.055			

The study conducted a Shapiro-Wilk test to determine whether the data gathered from the control and treatment groups in the third year level is normally distributed.

The results showed that for the **control group**, **all variables** (Knowledge: W=.873, p <0.05; Ability to Explain: W = .864, p <0.05; Attitude: W = .726, p<0.05) **except Performance** (W=.962, p>0.05) **were non-normally distributed**.

On the other hand, in the treatment group, **two variables** (Ability to Explain W=.923, p<0.05 and Attitude W=.767, p<0.05) **were significantly non-normally distributed**, while **two variables** (Knowledge W=.950, p>0.05 and Performance W=.944, p>0.05) **were normally distributed**.

Thus, the study used non-parametric tests for variables with significantly nonnormal distribution and parametric tests for variables with normally distributed data.

### Question

2. Is there a significant difference between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment groups in each year level?

### **First Year Students**

		Ranks		
			Mean	Sum of
	Group	N	Rank	Ranks
Performance	Control	61	56.36	3438.00
	Treatment	57	62.86	3583.00
	Total	118		

### **Test Statistics**<sup>a</sup>

	Performance
Mann-Whitney U	1547.000
Wilcoxon W	3438.000
Z	-1.031
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.302

a. Grouping Variable: Group

A Mann-Whitney U test was performed to evaluate whether there is a significant difference between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment group.

The results indicated that there is <u>no significant</u> <u>difference</u> between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment group, (z = -1.031, p > .05).

### Question

2. Is there a significant difference between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment groups in each year level?

### **Third Year Students**

Group Statistics											
	Group	N	Mean	Std. D	eviation	Std. Erro	or Mean				
Performance	Control	42	3.7326		1.05959		.16350				
	Treatment	38	3.2963		.90899		.14746				
Independent Samples Test											
		Lever	e's Test	for							
		Equality	of Varia	ances			t-t	est for Equalit	y of Means		
										95% Confide	ence Interval
							c: (2		C. 1 F	of the Di	fference
							Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error		
		F	S	ig.	t	df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
Performance	Equal variances	1.3	77	.244	1.966	78	.053	.43630	.22187	00541	.87802
	assumed										
	Equal variances not				1.982	77.792	.051	.43630	.22017	00204	.87465
	assumed										

An independent sample t-test was conducted to determine the effects of bilingual lessons on student performance. Levene's test showed that variances were equal (F(1, 79) = 1.377, p > .05), allowing for a t-test assuming homogeneity of variance.

The results showed <u>no significant difference in</u> <u>mean scores</u> between students who received bilingual lessons ( $\bar{x} = 3.2963$ ) and those who did not ( $\bar{x} = 3.7326$ ), t(78) = .053, p > .05. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is <u>no significant difference in</u> <u>performance between the two groups.</u>

### Question

3. Is there a significant difference between the student perception of their understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and treatment group in each grade level?

### **First Year Students**

Ranks					
	Group	N	Mean Rank	Sum of Ranks	
Knowledge	Control	61	61.68	3762.50	
	Treatment	57	57.17	3258.50	
	Total	118			
Ability to Explain	Control	61	65.89	4019.00	
	Treatment	57	52.67	3002.00	
	Total	118			
Attitude	Control	61	59.84	3650.50	
	Treatment	57	59.13	3370.50	
	Total	118			

### **Test Statistics**<sup>a</sup>

	Knowledge	Ability to Explain	Attitude
Mann-Whitney U	1605.500	1349.000	1717.500
Wilcoxon W	3258.500	3002.000	3370.500
Z	723	-2.118	118
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.470	.034	.906

a. Grouping Variable: Group

treatment group of first year students.

group.



- A Mann-Whitney U test was performed to evaluate whether there is a significant difference between the Mathematical understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and
- The results indicated that there is **no significant difference** between the self evaluation of knowledge (z = -.723, p>0.05), and attitude (z = -.118, p > 0.05) of the control and treatment
- However, the results showed that the control group had a significantly higher self-evaluation of their ability to explain than the bilingual class group (z = -2.118, p<0.05).

### Question

3. Is there a significant difference between the student perception of their understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and treatment group in each grade level?

### **Third Year Students**

Ranks						
	Group	Ν	Mean	Rank	Sum of Ranks	
Knowledge	Control	42		40.56	1703.50	
	Treatment	38		40.43	1536.50	
	Total	80				
Ability to	Control	42		42.10	1768.00	
Explain	Treatment	38		38.74	1472.00	
	Total	80				
Attitude	Control	42		40.75	1711.50	
	Treatment	38		40.22	1528.50	
	Total	80				

		Ability to	
	Knowledge	Explain	Attitude
Mann-Whitney U	795.500	731.000	787.500
Wilcoxon W	1536.500	1472.000	1528.500
Z	024	651	108
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.981	.515	.914

a. Grouping Variable: Group

treatment group of third year students.

the control and treatment group.



- A Mann-Whitney U test was performed to evaluate whether there is a significant difference between the student perception on their Mathematical understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and
- The results indicated that there is **no significant difference** between the self-evaluation of their knowledge (z = -.024, p> .05), ability to explain (z = -.651, p> .05), and attitude (z = -.651, p> .05) of

# **Summary and Conclusion**

Determine the effects of the bilingual medium of instruction on the Mathematical performance, understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of NITAC Students in Mathematics subjects.

	Questions	Ansv
1.	What is the level of students' mathematical performance, perception of knowledge, ability to	The control and treatment groups of level of self-evaluation of their know and Math performance.
	explain, and attitude according to the school year and grade level?	high level of self-evaluation of their l attitude and moderate level of Math
2.	Is there a significant difference between the mathematical performance of the control and treatment groups at each year level?	There is no significant difference be performance of the control and trea Hence, the program has no negative

### vers

f the first-grade students have high /ledge, ability to explain, attitude

f the third-grade students have knowledge, ability to explain, performance.

tween the mathematical Itment groups in both year levels. e effect on their math performance.

# **Summary and Conclusion**

Determine the effects of the bilingual medium of instruction on the Mathematical performance, understanding, ability to explain, and attitude of NITAC Students in Mathematics subjects.

Questions	Ansu
3. Is there a significant difference between the student perception of their knowledge, ability to explain, and attitude of the control and treatment group in each grade level?	There is no significant difference k evaluation of knowledge, and atti- group of first year students. Howe significantly higher self-evaluation the bilingual class group. There is no significant difference k evaluation of knowledge, ability to control and treatment group of th program has no negative effect or KEA.

### wers

between the level of selftude of the control and treatment ever, the control group had a n of their ability to explain than

between the level of selfo explain, and attitude of the hird year students. Hence, the h their self-evaluation of their

# Evaluation of the Math Bilingual Program

## Program Components

- Achievement of lesson objectives
- Quality of the content of the bilingual lessons
- Performance of the teacher
- Quality of teaching materials, and sufficiency of time allocation

Effects on the Student Math Performance and Capabilities in the class

2



Emotional and Motivational aspects of learning experience

# **Emotional and Motivational** aspects of learning experience

Gather feedback from students about the Math Bilingual Program and identify areas for improvement.

- 1. Evaluate students' level of excitement towards Math lessons discussed in both English and Japanese, and gather reasoning behind their answer.
- 2. Identify the positive aspects and pinpoint areas that need improvement of the Math Bilingual Lessons from students' perspective.
- 3. Gather suggestions on what else can be included in the Bilingual Math Class from students' perspective.
- 4. Determine the likelihood of students **recommending** the Bilingual Math Classes to their schoolmates, and gather reasoning behind their answer.



## **Link:** <u>https://forms.office.com/r/RcbE3XX4nZ</u>

uation and Feedback Form 3	
関する評価フォー <u>ム</u> )	- Would you recommend attending bilingual math classes to your schoolmates? Yes/No. ( (パイリンガル投業を受けていない) 友人にパイリンガル投業への参加を勧めたいと思いま すか?はい・いいえ。
m (フィードバックフ	<ul> <li>&gt; Yes (125. ')</li> <li>&gt; No (5. '6. '32)</li> </ul>
guestions:(以下の難時にお補えください、)	3 Please explain your answer in item 12. (資料12について、その皆えの運動を教えてください。)・
sons discussed both in English and Japanese exciting? Yes/No? :漏論されている数学の投業は楽しいと思いますか?はい‐いいえ。) *	Enter your answer
	54
our answer in item 7.	Any other comments? (他にコメント等あれば自由に記述してください)
、その答えの理由を教えてください。)・	Enter your answer
	Send me an email receipt of my responses
te most about the Math Bilingual Lessons? 使の何が一番好きでしたか?)・	Back Submit Page 3 of 3
the Mathematics Bilingual lessons could be 業のどの側面を改善できると思いますか?)・	
you like to see to be included in this bilingual	
レ授業に他に何が含まれると良いと思いますか?)・	

1. Evaluate students' level of excitement towards Math lessons discussed in both English and Japanese, and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Do you find Math lessons discussed both in English and Japanese exciting? Yes/No? Explain your answer. Most students find the bilingual math lessons exciting and



helpful for understanding math concepts.

"楽しかった" (It was fun.)

"日本語のみの数学では退屈だと思うときがあるから。海外の考え方や解法が反 映されていて面白いから。" (Sometimes, I find studying math in Japanese alone) boring. It's interesting to see the perspectives and problem-solving approaches used in other countries.) "理解が深まる" (My understanding deepened.) "日本語と英語で2度説明を聞けるので理解が深まる" (I can deepen my understanding by hearing explanations in both Japanese and English.) "数式を見たら言ってる意味が理解できるので、同時に英語を聞くとなんとなく 英語の方も理解できて楽しかった" (When I saw the formula, I could understand the meaning of what was being said, so it was fun to understand the English as well.)

1. Evaluate students' level of excitement towards Math lessons discussed in both English and Japanese, and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Do you find Math lessons discussed both in English and Japanese exciting? Yes/No? Explain your answer.



英語の表現が学べるから (Because you can learn English expressions) 英語も学べて一石二鳥だから (Because you can learn both English and math) 日本語の数学用語を英語で聞けるから (Because you can hear math terms in Japanese and English) 数学の単語や言い方を英語でどのように言うかが身についたから。(Because you) learn how to say math words and phrases in English) 海外の考え方や解法が反映されていて面白いから。(Because different approaches) and methods from different cultures are interesting) 英語に親しみながら理解できるから (Because you can understand while becoming) familiar with English) 多言語で学ぶことによって英語の勉強にもなるから (Because learning in multiple) languages can also help with English study)

### The bilingual approach helps some students to improve their language skills and learn about different approaches to math from different cultures

1. Evaluate students' level of excitement towards Math lessons discussed in both English and Japanese, and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Do you find Math lessons discussed both in English and Japanese exciting? Yes/No? Explain your answer.



### However, some students struggle with understanding math concepts in English and find the bilingual approach time-consuming.

解けないから。 (Because I can't solve it.) 知っている単語や知らない単語が飛び交う中で、数学も理解しないといけないのが少ししんどかったが、楽しい時もあった 。 (It was a bit tiring to understand math concepts while dealing with known and unknown words, but sometimes it was enjoyable.) 英語がわからない (I don't understand English.) 時間が2倍かかることになるため。(It takes twice as much time.) 英語が難しい (English is difficult.) スライドがあるので英語がわからない部分も理解できた。日本語は掻い摘むだけで良いと思う。 (I could understand even the parts of English that I didn't understand because of the slides. I think it's enough to just skim through the Japanese.) スライドが理解しやすいのでなんとなっているが、英語だけの授業であれば理解できていなかったと思う。(I could understand because the slides were easy to understand, but I don't think I could have understood if it was only an English class.) 説明があまりわからないことがあっても、かわりに図や式がとてもわかりやすく整理されていることが多いので (Even if I didn't understand some of the explanations, there were many times when the diagrams and formulas were very clear and wellorganized.)

For me I am a international student so it is so helpful for me to understand the lessons. (For me as an international student, it's helpful to understand the lessons.)

数式について英語で説明されたあと日本語で説明され... (After the formulas were explained in English, they were explained again in Japanese...)

1. Evaluate students' level of excitement towards Math lessons discussed in both English and Japanese, and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Do you find Math lessons discussed both in English and Japanese exciting? Yes/No? Explain your answer.



- Overall, the bilingual math program has a understanding of math concepts.
- proficiency in English.
- the bilingual lessons.

# positive impact on students' motivation and

# Negative feedback is often related to students'

## To ensure all students benefit from the program, additional support may be necessary for those who are struggling with the language aspect of

2. Identify the positive aspects of the Math Bilingual Lessons from students' perspective.

What did you like most about the Math Bilingual Lessons?

 Students appreciated the use of slides, clear explanations, and visuals/graphs to understand difficult concepts.



"スライドがわかりやすいこと。特に、図形の問題は、スライドだとよく理解で きました。" (The slides are easy to understand. Especially for geometry problems, I could understand them well with the slides.) "作りこまれたスライド。" (Well-crafted slides.) "英語での解説と日本語での解説を行ってくれること。" (Providing explanations in both English and Japanese.) "スライドでしっかりと細かく解説されるところ" (Detailed explanations using) slides) "ケビン先生のスライドが何通りもの考え方を提示してくれてわかりやすかった です" (Kevin's slides presented multiple ways of thinking, which made it easy to understand.) "わかりやすいスライド" (Easy-to-understand slides) "GeoGebraで、3次元のグラフをわかりやすくしてくれていたこと。" (Using GeoGebra to make 3D graphs easy to understand.)

2. Identify the positive aspects of the Math Bilingual Lessons from students' perspective.

What did you like most about the Math Bilingual Lessons?

 Students enjoyed explanations in both Japanese and English, which helped them understand the material better.

"I can understand both Japanese and English." "英語での解説と日本語での解説を行ってくれること。" (Provide explanations in both English and Japanese.) "英語を含めた分かりやすいスライドを見ること" (Experience easy-to-understand slides that include English.) "ケビン先生と高田先生の絡みが微笑ましいというか、面白かったです。" (The interaction between Kevinsensei and Takata-sensei was heartwarming or rather, entertaining.) "先生が解説してくれること。" (The teacher provides explanations.) "ケビン先生の解法" (Kevin-sensei's solution method.) "わかりやすいスライド" (Easy-to-understand slides.) "英語で授業が受けれるところ" (A place where classes can be taken in English.) "日本ではメジャーでない解き方が知れること" (Being able to learn non-major methods of solving problems in Japan.)

2. Identify the positive aspects of the Math Bilingual Lessons from students' perspective.

What did you like most about the Math Bilingual Lessons?

 Different perspectives and problem-solving approaches offered by the two teachers were helpful.

"I can listen to the explanation twice. Kevin sensei and Takata sensei have different perspectives and ways of solving the same question. After Kevin sensei explained his way of solving, Takata sensei may provide a different way of solving. As a student, I have more options when choosing how to solve the question."

- Interesting stories and anecdotes, use of humor and jokes, and opportunity to learn new concepts and vocabulary were positive aspects.
- Overall, the Math Bilingual Lessons were a success, and students benefited greatly from them.

- 2. Pinpoint areas that need improvement of the Math Bilingual Lessons from students' perspective.
  - What aspects of the Mathematics Bilingual lessons could be improved?

Based on their comments, here are some suggestions for improving the Mathematics Bilingual lessons:

- Reduce the time spent on translation
- Increase lecture time
- Provide more detailed translations
- Start explanations earlier
- Improve the pace of the lessons
- Create an English vocabulary list
- Provide more opportunities for questions
- Create an English version of Moodle

## 3. Gather suggestions on what else can be included in the Bilingual Math Class from students' perspective. What else would you like to see to be included in this bilingual Math class?

- Some students are satisfied with the current state of the bilingual Math class.
- Suggestions for additional activities include:
  - Solving simple equations in English
  - Reducing Japanese assistance gradually
  - Quizzes and group work in English
  - More active learning through lesson gamification
  - Speeches in English
  - History of Math Concepts
- Some students want more explanations in English and English subtitles.
- Others suggested having Japanese translations on slides.
- A few students requested more communication with the teacher in English and more challenging content.
- Some students did not provide any additional feedback or were unsure.

4. Determine the likelihood of students recommending the Bilingual Math Classes to their schoolmates, and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Would you recommend attending bilingual math classes to your schoolmates? Yes/No? Why?



- math classes enjoyable and beneficial.
- classes to their schoolmates.
- being interesting and fun.
- Some students found learning math in English

Majority of Japanese students surveyed found bilingual

Students would recommend attending bilingual math

 Reasons cited for recommending bilingual math classes include the *opportunity to learn English, understanding* math concepts in both Japanese and English, the importance of English in a global society, learning math from a different perspective, and the unique experience

challenging and preferred studying math in Japanese.

4. Determine the likelihood of students recommending the Bilingual Math Classes to their schoolmates and gather reasoning behind their answer.

Any other comments

|偏微分や重積分では3次元での図形を扱うことになり、それらがどのような形を しているかイメージがしづらかったのですが、スライドがとても見やすく、どこ をどのように計算しているのか、式の意味が分かり、深い理解に繋がりました

"In partial differentiation and multiple integration, we deal with three-dimensional figures, and it was difficult for me to visualize what shape they take. However, the slides were very clear and easy to understand. They showed where and how to perform the calculations, and I could grasp the meaning of the equations, leading to a deeper understanding."

The feedback from the students indicates a positive experience overall. They appreciated the opportunity to learn and deepen their understanding of math concepts. <u>The</u> interactive tools, which allowed them to see how changing numerical values affected the graphs, were particularly helpful and interesting. The clear explanations of the teachers and approachable teaching style were also noted as positives, despite the class being conducted in English, which some students found challenging at first but eventually enjoyable. Overall, the students expressed gratitude for the teachers' efforts and hoped to continue learning from them in the future.

# Evaluation of the Math Bilingual Program

## Program Components

- Achievement of lesson objectives
- Quality of the content of the bilingual lessons
- Performance of the teacher
- Quality of teaching materials, and sufficiency of time allocation

Effects on the Student Math Performance and Capabilities in the class

2



Emotional and Motivational aspects of learning experience



NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AKASHI KOSEN COLLEGE JAPAN 明石工業高等専門学校 CLOBAL EDUCATION CENTER グローバルエデュケーションセンター

# Harnessing the Power of Graphic Calculators: A Key to Success in the Math Bilingual Education Program at NITAC







Prepared by:

Isao Takata, PhD Mathematics Professor Mark Kevin Aguilar Assistant Math Professor © National Institute of Technology Akashi (KOSEN) College

## June 2023